AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. MANZULLO OF ILLINOIS

Strike section 10 (page 81, line 14 through page 89, line 23), and redesignate succeeding sections and references thereto (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 131, lines 21 and 22, strike “section 10(h) of this Act or”.

Page 68, line 9, strike “section 18 and” and insert “section 17”.

☒
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. MOORE OF WISCONSIN

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

1 SEC. 29. ESTABLISHMENT OF METHODS FOR STUDYING THE DIVERSITY OF APPLICANTS.
2
3 The Director shall, not later than the end of the 6-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, establish methods for studying the diversity of patent applicants, including those applicants who are minorities, women, or veterans. The Director shall not use the results of such study to provide any preferential treatment to patent applicants.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. MOORE OF WISCONSIN

Page 135, line 2, insert after "Office" the following: "including small businesses, women-owned businesses, and minority-owned businesses"
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. PETERS OF MICHIGAN AND MR.
RENNACI OF OHIO

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

SEC. 29. USPTO STUDY ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT PRO-
TECTIONS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "Director"), in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce and the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, shall, using the existing resources of the Office, carry out a study—

(1) to determine how the United States Patent and Trademark Office, in coordination with other Federal departments and agencies, can best help small businesses with international patent protection; and

(2) whether, in order to help small businesses pay for the costs of filing, maintaining, and enforcing international patent applications, there should be established either—
(A) a revolving fund loan program to make
loans to small businesses to defray the costs of
such applications, maintenance, and enforce-
ment and related technical assistance; or

(B) a grant program to defray the costs of
such applications, maintenance, and enforce-
ment and related technical assistance.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date
of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall issue a
report to the Congress containing—

(1) all findings and determinations made in car-
rying out the study required under subsection (a);

(2) a statement of whether the determination
was made that—

(A) a revolving fund loan de-
scribed under subsection (a)(2)(A) should be es-
established;

(B) a grant program described under sub-
section (a)(2)(B) should be established; or

(C) neither such program should be estab-
lished; and

(3) any legislative recommendations the Direc-
tor may have developed in carrying out such study.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. BALDWIN OF WISCONSIN

Page 36, strike line 10 and all that follows through page 40, line 5, and redesignate succeeding sections and references thereto (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 68, line 9, strike "section 18" and insert "section 17".

Page 115, line 10, strike "6(f)(2)(A)" and insert "5(f)(2)(A)".

☑
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. WATERS OF CALIFORNIA

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

1 **SEC. 29. SEVERABILITY.**

2 If any provision of this Act or amendment made by this Act, or the application of a provision or amendment to any person or circumstance, is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this Act and amendments made by this Act, and the application of the provisions and amendment to any person or circumstance, shall not be affected by the holding.

☒
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. WATERS OF CALIFORNIA

Strike section 18 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform cross-references and the table of contents) accordingly.
Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

SEC. 29. USPTO FAIR INCLUSION STANDARDS IN PROCUREMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the end of the 6-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall develop and implement standards and procedures to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, the fair inclusion and utilization of minority- and women-owned businesses in all procurement activities.

(b) DIVERSITY COMPONENT.—The procedures established by the Office for review and evaluation of contract proposals and for hiring service providers shall include, to the extent consistent with applicable law, a component that gives consideration to the diversity of the applicant and the applicant’s good faith efforts to include women and minorities in its workforce.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as placing any requirement on the
Director or the Office with respect to the examination of applications for, or the issuance of, patents or trademarks.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. WATERS OF CALIFORNIA

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

SEC. 29. USPTO PREFERENCE FOR POTENTIAL CONTRACTORS THAT CARRY OUT CERTAIN ACTIVITIES.

In evaluating offers submitted in response to a solicitation for contracts, the Director shall provide a preference to any offeror that—

(1) enhances undergraduate, graduate, and doctoral programs in science, technology, engineering, and math (in this section referred to as “STEM” disciplines);

(2) makes investments, such as programming and curriculum development, in STEM programs within elementary and secondary schools;

(3) encourages employees to volunteer in Title I schools in order to enhance STEM education and programs;

(4) makes personnel available to advise and assist faculty at such colleges and universities in the
performance of STEM research and disciplines critical to the functions of the Department of Defense;

(5) establishes partnerships between the offeror and historically Black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions for the purpose of training students in scientific disciplines;

(6) awards scholarships and fellowships, and establishes cooperative work-education programs in scientific disciplines; or

(7) conducts recruitment activities at historically Black colleges and universities and other minority-serving institutions or offers internships or apprenticeships.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. POLIS OF COLORADO

Page 108, beginning on line 18, strike “pending on, or filed on or after,” and insert “filed on or after”.

☑️
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. ROHRABACHER OF CALIFORNIA

Page 73, after line 2, insert the following new subsection:

(i) **INAPPLICABILITY OF POST-GRANT REVIEW TO CERTAIN SMALL ENTITIES.**—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a patent granted to a United States citizen, an individually lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, or a United States company with less than 100 employees shall not be subject to any form of post-grant review or reexamination.

(2) RULEMAKING.—The Director shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this subsection.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. LUJÁN OF NEW MEXICO

Page 135, line 22, strike the period and insert a semicolon.

Page 135, after line 22, insert the following:

1. (C) shall evaluate and consider the extent to which the purposes of satellite offices listed under subsection (b) will be achieved;
2. (D) shall consider the availability of scientific and technically knowledgeable personnel in the region from which to draw new patent examiners at minimal recruitment cost; and
3. (E) shall consider the economic impact to the region.

Page 136, line 9, insert before the semicolon the following: “including an explanation of how the selected location will achieve the purposes of satellite offices listed under subsection (b) and how the required considerations listed under subsection (c) were met”.

[ ]
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. LUJÁN OF NEW MEXICO

Page 139, after line 20, insert the following new section (and redesignate subsequent sections and conform the table of contents accordingly):

1  SEC. 30. ASSISTANCE FOR INDEPENDENT INVENTORS AND
2  SMALL BUSINESSES.
3  Using available resources, the Director shall work
4  with local small business assistance and economic develop-
5  ment organizations, including organizations affiliated with
6  national laboratories and research universities, in the es-
7  tablishment of programs to assist independent inventors
8  and small businesses with issues arising during the patent
9  application process.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. SENSENBRENNER OF WISCONSIN

Page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 27, line 20, and redesignate succeeding sections and references thereto (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 68, strike line 18 and all that follows through page 70, line 2.

Page 68, line 9, strike “section 18” and all that follows through “3(n)(1)” on line 11 and insert “section 17, shall apply to any patent for which an application is filed on or after that effective date”.

Page 74, line 3, strike “derivation” and insert “interference”.

Page 74, line 7, strike “derivation” and insert “interference”.

Page 76, line 7, strike “DERIVATION” and insert “INTERFERENCE”.

Page 76, lines 7 and 8, strike “a derivation” and insert “an interference”.
Page 76, lines 12 and 25, strike “derivation” and insert “interference”.

Page 77, line 6, strike “a derivation” and insert “an interference”.

Page 77, line 10, strike “derivation” and insert “interference”.

Page 77, line 23, strike “a derivation” and insert “an interference”.

Page 78, insert the following after line 1 and redesignate the succeeding subsection accordingly:

1 (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Sections 134, 145, 146, 154, and 305 of title 35, United States Code, are each amended by striking “Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences” each place that term appears and inserting “Patent Trial and Appeal Board”.

Page 109, strike lines 11 through 13 and insert the following:

6 by inserting “(other than the requirement to disclose the best mode)” after “section 112 of this title”.

Page 113, line 20, strike “as in effect” and all that follows through “3(n)(1),” on line 22.
Page 113, line 25, strike "(as in" and all that follows through "date)" on page 114, line 1.

Page 114, line 9, strike "(as in effect" and all that follows through "3(n)(1)" on line 11.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF TEXAS

Page 3, line 5, strike "America Invents Act" and insert "Leahy-Smith America Invents Act".

Page 4, lines 10 and 22, strike "5(a)(1)" and insert "5(a)".

Page 16, line 1, insert after the period the following: "In appropriate circumstances, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board may correct the naming of the inventor in any application or patent at issue."

Page 25, strike line 13 and all that follows through page 27, line 2, and redesignate the succeeding subsections accordingly.

Page 27, line 4, strike "registration".

Page 27, line 5, strike "inventor to use" and insert "to invent".

Page 27, line 6, insert "and the useful arts" after "science".

Page 27, line 9, strike "granted by the" and insert "provided by the grant of".
Page 27, line 12, strike “registration”.

Page 27, line 13, strike “inventor to use” and insert “to invent”.

Page 27, lines 14 and 15, strike “harmonize the United States patent registration system with the patent registration systems” and insert “improve the United States patent system and promote harmonization of the United States patent system with the patent systems”.

Page 27, line 18, strike “a greater sense of” and insert “greater”.

Page 36, strike line 10 and all that follows through page 40, line 5, and insert the following (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

SEC. 5. DEFENSE TO INFRINGEMENT BASED ON PRIOR COMMERCIAL USE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 273 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

§273. Defense to infringement based on prior commercial use

“(a) IN GENERAL.—A person shall be entitled to a defense under section 282(b) with respect to subject matter consisting of a process, or consisting of a machine, manufacture, or composition of matter used in a manufacturing or other commercial process, that would otherwise
infringe a claimed invention being asserted against the
person if—

"(1) such person, acting in good faith, commercially used the subject matter in the United States,
either in connection with an internal commercial use
or an actual arm's length sale or other arm's length
commercial transfer of a useful end result of such
commercial use; and

"(2) such commercial use occurred at least 1
year before the earlier of either—

"(A) the effective filing date of the claimed
invention; or

"(B) the date on which the claimed invention was disclosed to the public in a manner
that qualified for the exception from prior art
under section 102(b).

"(b) BURDEN OF PROOF.—A person asserting a defense under this section shall have the burden of estab-
lishing the defense by clear and convincing evidence.

"(c) ADDITIONAL COMMERCIAL USES.—

"(1) PREMARKETING REGULATORY REVIEW.—
Subject matter for which commercial marketing or
use is subject to a premarketing regulatory review
period during which the safety or efficacy of the sub-
ject matter is established, including any period spe-
fied in section 156(g), shall be deemed to be commercially used for purposes of subsection (a)(1) during such regulatory review period.

"(2) NONPROFIT LABORATORY USE.—A use of subject matter by a nonprofit research laboratory or other nonprofit entity, such as a university or hospital, for which the public is the intended beneficiary, shall be deemed to be a commercial use for purposes of subsection (a)(1), except that a defense under this section may be asserted pursuant to this paragraph only for continued and noncommercial use by and in the laboratory or other nonprofit entity.

"(d) EXHAUSTION OF RIGHTS.—Notwithstanding subsection (e)(1), the sale or other disposition of a useful end result by a person entitled to assert a defense under this section in connection with a patent with respect to that useful end result shall exhaust the patent owner’s rights under the patent to the extent that such rights would have been exhausted had such sale or other disposition been made by the patent owner.

"(e) LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS.—

"(1) PERSONAL DEFENSE.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—A defense under this section may be asserted only by the person who
performed or directed the performance of the commercial use described in subsection (a), or by an entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with such person.

"(B) TRANSFER OF RIGHT.—Except for any transfer to the patent owner, the right to assert a defense under this section shall not be licensed or assigned or transferred to another person except as an ancillary and subordinate part of a good-faith assignment or transfer for other reasons of the entire enterprise or line of business to which the defense relates.

"(C) RESTRICTION ON SITES.—A defense under this section, when acquired by a person as part of an assignment or transfer described in subparagraph (B), may only be asserted for uses at sites where the subject matter that would otherwise infringe a claimed invention is in use before the later of the effective filing date of the claimed invention or the date of the assignment or transfer of such enterprise or line of business.

"(2) DERIVATION.—A person may not assert a defense under this section if the subject matter on
which the defense is based was derived from the 
pat- 
teep or persons in privity with the patenttee.

“(3) NOT A GENERAL LICENSE.—The defense 
asserted by a person under this section is not a gen-
eral license under all claims of the patent at issue,
but extends only to the specific subject matter for 
which it has been established that a commercial use 
that qualifies under this section occurred, except 
that the defense shall also extend to variations in 
the quantity or volume of use of the claimed subject 
matter, and to improvements in the claimed subject 
matter that do not infringe additional specifically 
claimed subject matter of the patent.

“(4) ABANDONMENT OF USE.—A person who 
has abandoned commercial use (that qualifies under 
this section) of subject matter may not rely on ac-
tivities performed before the date of such abandon-
ment in establishing a defense under this section 
with respect to actions taken on or after the date of 
such abandonment.

“(5) UNIVERSITY EXCEPTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A person commer-
cially using subject matter to which subsection 
(a) applies may not assert a defense under this 
section if the claimed invention with respect to
which the defense is asserted was, at the time
the invention was made, owned or subject to an
obligation of assignment to either an institution
of higher education (as defined in section
101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965
(20 U.S.C. 1001(a)), or a technology transfer
organization whose primary purpose is to facil-
tate the commercialization of technologies devel-
oped by one or more such institutions of higher
education.

"(B) EXCEPTION.—Subparagraph (A)
shall not apply if any of the activities required
to reduce to practice the subject matter of the
claimed invention could not have been under-
taken using funds provided by the Federal Gov-
ernment.

"(f) UNREASONABLE ASSERTION OF DEFENSE.—If
the defense under this section is pleaded by a person who
is found to infringe the patent and who subsequently fails
to demonstrate a reasonable basis for asserting the de-
fense, the court shall find the case exceptional for the pur-
pose of awarding attorney fees under section 285.

"(g) INVALIDITY.—A patent shall not be deemed to
be invalid under section 102 or 103 solely because a de-
fense is raised or established under this section."
(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 273 in the table of sections for chapter 28 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"273. Defense to infringement based on prior commercial use."

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to any patent issued on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Page 41, line 5, strike "1 year" and insert "9 months".

Page 42, line 22, strike "commence" and insert "be instituted".

Page 43, line 24, and page 44, line 1, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 44, lines 3 and 4, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 44, lines 13 and 14, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".
Page 44, lines 16 and 17, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 52, line 10, strike "AMENDED OR NEW CLAIM" and insert "INTERVENING RIGHTS".

Page 54, insert the following after line 10:

(3) TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 31 of title 35,

United States Code, is amended—

(i) in section 312—

(I) in subsection (a)—

(aa) in the first sentence, by

striking "a substantial new question of patentability affecting any claim of the patent concerned is raised by the request," and inserting "the information presented in the request shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the requester would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the request,"; and
(bb) in the second sentence, by striking "The existence of a substantial new question of patentability" and inserting "A showing that there is a reasonable likelihood that the requester would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the request"; and

(II) in subsection (c), in the second sentence, by striking "no substantial new question of patentability has been raised," and inserting "the showing required by subsection (a) has not been made,"; and

(ii) in section 313, by striking "a substantial new question of patentability affecting a claim of the patent is raised" and inserting "it has been shown that there is a reasonable likelihood that the requester would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the request".

(B) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by this paragraph—
(i) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(ii) shall apply to requests for inter partes reexamination that are filed on or after such date of enactment, but before the effective date set forth in paragraph (2)(A) of this subsection.

(C) CONTINUED APPLICABILITY OF PRIOR PROVISIONS.—The provisions of chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code, as amended by this paragraph, shall continue to apply to requests for inter partes reexamination that are filed before the effective date set forth in paragraph (2)(A) as if subsection (a) had not been enacted.

Page 54, line 17, strike “patent owner” and insert “owner of a patent”.

Page 54, line 18, strike “of a” and insert “of the”.

Page 55, line 10, strike “1 year” and insert “9 months”.

Page 57, line 3, strike “commence” and insert “be instituted”.

Page 57, line 25, strike “The” and all that follows through “public.” on page 58, line 1.
Page 58, lines 11 and 12, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 58, lines 15 and 16, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 58, line 25 and page 59, line 1, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 59, lines 3 and 4, strike "petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner" and insert "petitioner or real party in interest".

Page 63, line 15, strike "and".

Page 63, line 23, strike the period and insert "; and".

Page 63, insert the following after line 23:

1 "(12) providing the petitioner with at least 1
2 opportunity to file written comments within a time
3 period established by the Director."

Page 66, line 24, strike "AMENDED OR NEW CLAIM" and insert "INTERVENING RIGHTS".
Page 68, line 10, strike "to any patent that is" and insert "only to patents".

Page 78, insert the following after line 1 and redesignate the succeeding subsection accordingly:

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954.—Section 152 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2182) is amended in the third undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking "Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" each place it appears and inserting "Patent Trial and Appeal Board"; and

(B) by inserting "and derivation" after "established for interference".

(2) TITLE 51.—Section 20135 of title 51, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsections (e) and (f), by striking "Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" each place it appears and inserting "Patent Trial and Appeal Board"; and

(B) in subsection (e), by inserting "and derivation" after "established for interference".
Page 86, lines 11 and 12, strike “examination fee for the application” and insert “applicable fee”.

Page 86, line 15, insert “most recently” after “as”.

Page 86, line 22, strike “examination fee for the application” and insert “applicable fee”.

Page 87, line 1, insert “most recently” after “as”.

Page 87, strike line 18 and all that follows through page 88, line 8, and insert the following:

“(d) INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—For purposes of this section, a micro entity shall include an applicant who certifies that—

“(1) the applicant’s employer, from which the applicant obtains the majority of the applicant’s income, is an institution of higher education as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)); or

“(2) the applicant has assigned, granted, conveyed, or is under an obligation by contract or law, to assign, grant, or convey, a license or other ownership interest in the particular applications to such an institution of higher education.

Page 88, line 9, strike “(2) DIRECTOR’S AUTHORITY.—The Director” and insert “(e) DIRECTOR’S AU-
THORITY.—In addition to the limits imposed by this section, the Director.”

Page 88, move the text of lines 9 through 21 2 ems to the left.

Page 88, line 12, strike “subsection” and insert “section”.

Page 88, line 18, strike “paragraph” and insert “subsection”.

Page 89, line 2, strike “a fee” and insert “an additional fee”.

Page 89, line 17, strike “This” and insert “Except as provided in subsection (h), this”.

Page 89, line 22, strike “6-year” and insert “7-year”.

Page 89, add the following after line 23:

(3) PRIOR REGULATIONS NOT AFFECTED.—The termination of authority under this subsection shall not affect any regulations issued under this section before the effective date of such termination or any rulemaking proceeding for the issuance of regulations under this section that is pending on such date.
Page 96, line 15, strike “either” and all that follows through “patent” on line 19 and inserting “by Office personnel”.

Page 98, strike lines 3 through 14.

Page 102, insert the following after line 7 and redesignate the succeeding subsection accordingly:

(i) **PUBLIC ENTERPRISE FUND TRANSITION FEES.**—

(1) **SURCHARGE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—There shall be a surcharge of 15 percent, rounded by standard arithmetic rules, on all fees charged or authorized by subsections (a), (b), and (d)(1) of section 41, and section 132(b), of title 35, United States Code. Any surcharge imposed under this subsection is, and shall be construed to be, separate from and in addition to any other surcharge imposed under this Act or any other provision of law.

(B) **DEPOSIT OF AMOUNTS.**— Amounts collected pursuant to the surcharge imposed under subparagraph (A) shall be deposited in the United States Patent and Trademark Office Public Enterprise Fund established under section 22(e).
(2) EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERMINATION OF SURCHARGE.—The surcharge imposed under paragraph (1)—

(A) shall take effect on the date on which the surcharge under subsection (j) of this section expires; and

(B) shall terminate, with respect to a fee to which paragraph (1)(A) applies, on the effective date of the setting or adjustment of that fee pursuant to the exercise of the authority under section 10 for the first time with respect to that fee.

(j) APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT TRANSITION FEES.—

(1) SURCHARGE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be a surcharge of 15 percent, rounded by standard arithmetic rules, on all fees charged or authorized by subsections (a), (b), and (d) of section 41, and section 132(b), of title 35, United States Code. Any surcharge imposed under this subsection is, and shall be construed to be, separate from and in addition to any other surcharge imposed under this Act or any other provision of law.
(B) DEPOSIT OF AMOUNTS.— Amounts collected pursuant to the surcharge imposed under subparagraph (A) shall be credited to the United States Patent and Trademark Appropriation Account and shall remain available until expended.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERMINATION OF SURCHARGE.—The surcharge provided for in paragraph (1)—

(A) shall take effect on the date that is 10 days after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) shall terminate on the effective date set forth in section 22(b)(2).

Page 102, strike lines 1 through 7 and insert the following:

(h) PRIORITIZED EXAMINATION FEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—

(A) Fee.—

(i) PRIORITIZED EXAMINATION FEE.—A fee of $4,800 shall be established for filing a request for prioritized examination of a nonprovisional application for an original utility or plant patent.
(ii) ADDITIONAL FEES.—In addition to the prioritized examination fee under clause (i), the fees due on an application for which prioritized examination is being sought are the filing fees (including any applicable excess claims and application size fees), processing fee, and publication fee for that application.

(B) REGULATIONS; LIMITATIONS.—

(i) REGULATIONS.—The Director may by regulation prescribe conditions for acceptance of a request under subparagraph (A) and a limit on the number of filings for prioritized examination that may be accepted.

(ii) LIMITATION ON CLAIMS.— Until regulations are prescribed under clause (i), no application for which prioritized examination is requested may contain or be amended to contain more than 4 independent claims or more than 30 total claims.

(iii) LIMITATION ON TOTAL NUMBER OF REQUESTS.—The Director may not accept in any fiscal year more than 10,000
requests for prioritization until regulations are prescribed under this subparagraph setting another limit.

(2) REDUCTION IN FEES FOR SMALL ENTITIES.—The Director shall reduce fees for providing prioritized examination of nonprovisional applications for original utility and plant patents by 50 percent for small entities that qualify for reduced fees under section 41(h)(1) of title 35, United States Code.

(3) DEPOSIT OF FEES.—All fees paid under this subsection shall be credited to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Appropriation Account, or (on and after the effective date set forth in section 22(b)(2)) in the United States Patent and Trademark Office Public Enterprise Fund established under section 22(e)(1), and shall remain available until expended.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERMINATION.—

(A) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This subsection shall take effect on the date that is 10 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) TERMINATION.—The fee imposed under paragraph (1)(A)(i), and the reduced fee under paragraph (2), shall terminate on the ef-
21  effective date of the setting or adjustment of the
fee under paragraph (1)(A)(i) pursuant to the
exercise of the authority under section 10 for
the first time with respect to that fee.

Page 102, lines 8 and 9, strike “Except as provided
in subsection (h),” and insert “Except as otherwise pro­
vided in this section,”.

Page 105, strike lines 1 through 11.

Page 105, add the following after line 25 and redes-
ignate the succeeding subsection accordingly:

“(e) FRAUD.—If the Director becomes aware, during
the course of a supplemental examination or reexamina-
ton proceeding ordered under this section, that a material
fraud on the Office may have been committed in connec-
tion with the patent that is the subject of the supplemental
examination, then in addition to any other actions the Di-
rector is authorized to take, including the cancellation of
any claims found to be invalid under section 307 as a re-
sult of a reexamination ordered under this section, the Di-
rector shall also refer the matter to the Attorney General
for such further action as the Attorney General may deem
appropriate. Any such referral shall be treated as con­
fidential, shall not be included in the file of the patent,
and shall not be disclosed to the public unless the United
States charges a person with a criminal offense in connection with such referral.

Page 111, strike lines 13 through 24 and insert the following:

"(c) The marking of a product, in a manner described in subsection (a), with matter relating to a patent that covered that product but has expired is not a violation of this section."

Page 112, line 2, strike "any case that is" and insert "all cases, without exception, that are".

Page 113, line 13, insert "or privy" after "interest".

Page 114, lines 15 and 16, strike "The petitioner in a transitional proceeding," and insert the following: "The petitioner in a transitional proceeding that results in a final written decision under section 328(a) of title 35, United States Code, with respect to a claim in a covered business method patent,"

Page 114, line 22, strike "a claim in a patent" and insert "the claim".

Page 114, lines 23-25, strike "a transitional proceeding that resulted in a final decision" and insert "that transitional proceeding".

Page 114, line 22, strike "a claim in a patent" and insert "the claim".

Page 114, lines 23-25, strike "a transitional proceeding that resulted in a final decision" and insert "that transitional proceeding".
Page 115, line 18, strike "10-" and insert "8-".

Page 120, strike line 17 and all that follows through the matter following line 10 on page 121 and redesignate succeeding subsections accordingly.

Page 121, line 17, strike "In any" and insert "With respect to any".

Page 121, line 22, insert "or have their actions consolidated for trial," after "defendants".

Page 122, line 9, strike "or trial".

Page 122, line 10, insert "or have their actions consolidated for trial," after "defendants".

Page 122, line 11, strike the quotation marks and second period.

Page 122, insert the following after line 11:

"(c) WAIVER.—A party that is an accused infringer may waive the limitations set forth in this section with respect to that party."

Page 126, line 13, strike "patent," and all that follows through the first appearance of "and" on line 17 and insert "a patent,"

Page 128, insert the following after line 23 and redesignate the succeeding subsection accordingly:
(k) ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Sections 155 and 155A of title 35, United States Code, and the items relating to those sections in the table of sections for chapter 14 of such title, are repealed.

Page 131, line 2, strike "after" and insert "on or after".

Page 132, strike line 22 and all that follows through page 134, line 9, and insert the following:

(d) REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Director shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations and the Judiciary of the Senate and the House of Representatives the reports described in paragraph (2).

(2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports described in this paragraph are the following:

(A) ANNUAL REPORT.—A report that summarizes the activities of the Office during the preceding fiscal year, including—

(i) financial details and staff levels for each major activity of the Office;

(ii) details of any progress in implementing long-term modernization plans of the Office;
(iii) a list of actual patent and trademark fee collections by the Office; and

(iv) the results of the most recent audit carried out under subsection (e).

(B) ANNUAL SPENDING PLAN.—A summary of the operating plan for the Office for the current fiscal year, including—

(i) the planned operating requirements for the Office, with financial details and staff levels with respect to major activities;

(ii) planned progress towards implementing long-term modernization plans of the Office; and

(iii) an estimate of patent and trademark fee collections.

(3) ANNUAL SPENDING PLAN CHANGES.—If, at any time during the fiscal year, the annual operating requirements differ from those provided in the annual spending plan submitted under paragraph (2)(B) by more than 10 percent of either the patent or trademark business expenses, the Director shall—

(A) notify the Committees on Appropriations and the Judiciary of the Senate and
House of Representatives of the changes in the annual spending plan; and

(B) include with the notification a summary of the changes from the original spending plan, a statement of whether current fiscal year fee collections or fees maintained in the operating reserve will be used to cover obligations in excess of the original spending plan, and an updated annual operating plan of the Office in accordance with paragraph (2).

(4) TESTIMONY BEFORE CONGRESS.—Upon the request of any Committee to which the reports are submitted under this subsection, the Director shall appear before that Committee and present such testimony as is requested.

Page 134, line 10, strike "(f)" and insert "(e)".

Page 134, line 14, strike "(g)" and insert "(f)"

Page 134, line 17, strike the period and insert the following:

, including—

(1) multi-year plans and out-year funding estimates;

(2) operating reserve balances; and

(3) long-term modernization plans of the Office.
Page 134, insert the following after line 17:

1     (g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsections (c) through (f) shall take effect on the later of—
2     (1) October 1, 2011; or
3     (2) the first day of the first fiscal year that begins on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Page 137, strike lines 1 through 7 and redesignate the succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 137, lines 8 and 9, strike “TECHNOLOGIES IMPORTANT TO AMERICAN COMPETITIVENESS” and insert “IMPORTANT TECHNOLOGIES” (and conform the table of contents accordingly).

Page 138, strike lines 1 through 21 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate the succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:
SEC. 27. PERMITTING SECOND OPINIONS IN CERTAIN GENETIC DIAGNOSTIC TESTING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 287 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d)(1) With respect to a genetic diagnostic test provider's performance of, or offering to perform, a confirming genetic diagnostic test activity that constitutes an infringement of a patent under section 271(a) or (b) of this title, the provisions of sections 281, 283, 284, and 285 of this title shall not apply against the genetic diagnostic test provider with respect to such confirming genetic diagnostic test activity

"(2) For the purposes of this subsection:

"(A) The term 'confirming genetic diagnostic test activity'—

"(i) means the performance of a patented genetic diagnostic test, by a genetic diagnostic test provider, on an individual solely for the purpose of providing the individual with an independent confirmation of results obtained from another test provider's prior performance of the test on the individual, where such prior test was performed by, or under license from, the owner of the patent that is infringed by the acts specified in paragraph (1), and where an
independent confirmation of the prior test is not available from another test provider under a license from the patent owner; but

"(ii) does not include—

"(I) the performance of a patented genetic diagnostic test on an individual for the purpose of monitoring or reconfirming the individual's medical or genetic status over time, for therapeutic treatment selection or determining responsiveness to treatment, and for other purposes that require repeated genetic diagnostic testing of the individual;

"(II) the use of a patented machine or article of manufacture in violation of such patent;

"(III) the use of a patented composition of matter that is commercially available to the genetic diagnostic test provider; and

"(IV) the practice of a patented process other than the process of testing claimed in the patent owner's patent referred to in paragraph (1).
“(B) The term ‘genetic diagnostic test provider’ means any person or entity that performs a confirming genetic diagnostic test activity, and includes a clinical laboratory or other health care entity at which, on behalf of which, or in association with which the confirming genetic diagnostic test activity is conducted, such as a nursing home, hospital, university, medical school, health maintenance organization, group medical practice, or medical clinic.

“(C) The term ‘patented genetic diagnostic test’ means a patented diagnostic method that is specific to the detection of a mutation or a pattern of mutations of one or more particular genes in an individual, as well as the use of a patented composition of matter, or the practice of a patented use of a composition of matter, where such composition of matter is specific to and necessary for the practice of the diagnostic method and is not commercially available to the genetic diagnostic test provider. When performed in the course of a confirming diagnostic test activity, such term is not limited to the particular embodiments of the patented diagnostic method or composition of matter that were practiced by or under authority of the patent owner in providing the prior genetic diagnostic test.
“(D) The term ‘independent confirmation’ is not limited to the replication of the results of a prior genetic diagnostic test, and includes providing the individual with information that is not otherwise available from the provider of such prior test and that affirms, clarifies, disproves, corroborates, or otherwise aids the individual in interpreting the results of such prior test, including in instances where such results were inconclusive.

“(3) The infringer shall have the burden of establishing the limitation on remedies under paragraph (1), including the production of contemporaneous documentary evidence proving, or tending to prove, that the diagnostic test activity meets the definition of a confirming diagnostic test activity under paragraph (2)(A) at the time the confirming diagnostic test activity was performed”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to confirming diagnostic test activity performed on or after such date.

SEC. 28. PATENT OMBUDSMAN PROGRAM FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.

Using available resources, the Director shall establish and maintain in the Office a Patent Ombudsman Program. The duties of the Program's staff shall include pro-
viding support and services relating to patent filings to small business concerns and independent inventors.

Page 139, insert the following after line 20 and redesignate the succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

SEC. 30. LIMITATION ON ISSUANCE OF PATENTS.

(a) LIMITATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no patent may issue on a claim directed to or encompassing a human organism.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) shall apply to any application for patent that is pending on, or filed on or after, the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) PRIOR APPLICATIONS.—Subsection (a) shall not affect the validity of any patent issued on an application to which paragraph (1) does not apply.

SEC. 31. STUDY OF PATENT LITIGATION.

(a) GAO STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the consequences of litigation by non-practicing entities, or by patent assertion entities, related to patent claims made under title 35, United States Code, and regulations authorized by that title.
(b) CONTENTS OF STUDY.—The study conducted under this section shall include the following:

(1) The annual volume of litigation described in subsection (a) over the 20-year period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The volume of cases comprising such litigation that are found to be without merit after judicial review.

(3) The impacts of such litigation on the time required to resolve patent claims.

(4) The estimated costs, including the estimated cost of defense, associated with such litigation for patent holders, patent licensors, patent licensees, and inventors, and for users of alternate or competing innovations.

(5) The economic impact of such litigation on the economy of the United States, including the impact on inventors, job creation, employers, employees, and consumers.

(6) The benefit to commerce, if any, supplied by non-practicing entities or patent assertion entities that prosecute such litigation.

(c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Comptroller General shall, not later than the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, submit to the Com-
mittee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report on the results of the study required under this section, including recommendations for any changes to laws and regulations that will minimize any negative impact of patient litigation that was the subject of such study.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. SPEIER OF CALIFORNIA

Page 16, line 3; insert before the period the following: "including requiring parties to provide sufficient evidence to prove and rebut a claim of derivation".
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. MICHAUD OF MAINE

Page 117, line 17, insert after the period the following: "For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'technological inventions' includes inventions relating to computer operations, software, or machines for other uses or the application of the natural sciences or engineering.".
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. ZOE LOFGREN OF CALIFORNIA

Page 7, strike lines 4 through 18 and insert the following:

"(1) DISCLOSURES, PUBLIC USES, OR SALES MADE 1 YEAR OR LESS BEFORE THE EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION.—A disclosure, public use, offer for sale, or sale made 1 year or less before the effective filing date of a claimed invention, or making a claimed invention available to the public 1 year or less before the effective filing date of the claimed invention, shall not be prior art to the claimed invention under subsection (a)(1) if—"

"(A) the disclosure, public use, offer for sale, or sale of the claimed invention, or the making of the claimed invention available to the public, was made by the inventor or joint inventor or by another who obtained the subject matter disclosed, publicly used, offered for sale, sold, or made available to the public, directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or"
“(B) the subject matter disclosed, publicly used, offered for sale, sold, or made available to the public had, before such disclosure, public use, offer for sale, or sale of the claimed invention, or the making available to the public of the claimed invention, been publicly disclosed by the inventor or a joint inventor or by another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. CUellar OF TEXAS

Page 137, line 7, before the period insert the following: "and independent inventors".

✘
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. CONYERS OF MICHIGAN, MR.
SENSENBRENNER OF WISCONSIN, AND MR.
MANZULLO OF ILLINOIS

Strike all sections except for section 2 (Definitions),
section 22 (Patent and Trademark Office Funding), and
section 31 (Budgetary Effects).

Page 3, line 8, strike "SEC. 2." and insert "SEC-
TION 1."

Page 4, strike lines 7 through 10 and 19 through
23.

Page 4, line 11, strike "(4)" and insert "(3)".

Page 130, line 3, strike "22" and insert "2".

Page 131, lines 21 and 22, strike "section 10(h) of
this Act or".

Page 140, line 3, strike "31" and insert "3".

X
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. CONYERS OF MICHIGAN AND
MR. ROHrabacher OF CALIFORNIA

Page 24, strike line 3 and all that follows through page 25, line 12, and insert the following:

1 (n) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section—

3 (A) shall take effect 90 days after the date on which the President issues an Executive order containing the President's finding that major patenting authorities have adopted a grace period having substantially the same effect as that contained under the amendments made by this section; and

4 (B) shall apply to all applications for patent that are filed on or after the effective date under subparagraph (A).

5 (2) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

6 (A) MAJOR PATENTING AUTHORITIES.—

7 The term "major patenting authorities" means at least the patenting authorities in Europe and Japan.
(B) GRACE PERIOD.—The term "grace period" means the 1-year period ending on the effective filing date of a claimed invention, during which disclosures of the subject matter by the inventor or a joint inventor, or by others who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor, do not qualify as prior art to the claimed invention.

(C) EFFECTIVE FILING DATE.—The term "effective filing date of a claimed invention" means, with respect to a patenting authority in another country, a date equivalent to the effective filing date of a claimed invention as defined in section 100(i) of title 35, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section.

(3) RETENTION OF INTERFERENCE PROCE- DURES WITH RESPECT TO APPLICATIONS FILED BEFORE EFFECTIVE DATE.—In the case of any application for patent that is filed before the effective date under paragraph (1)(A), the provisions of law amended by subsections (h) and (i) shall apply to such application as such provisions of law were in effect on the day before such effective date.
Page 11, lines 21-23, strike "upon the expiration of the 18-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act," and insert "on the effective date provided in subsection (n)".

☐
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 139, line 18, strike "small businesses" and insert "small business concerns (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)), including small business concerns that are minority-owned or woman-owned".

 setters}
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 7, line 6, after "1 year" insert the following: "(or, in the case of an invention claimed by a small business concern (as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act) with assets of less than $2,000,000, 1.5 years)."
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 116, after line 2, insert the following new paragraph:

(4) DETERMINATION BY DIRECTOR.—The Director shall, not later than the end of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, make a determination of whether the provisions of this section could be considered an unlawful taking of property under the fifth article of amendment to the Constitution of the United States, and the Director shall issue a report to the Congress containing such determination and the reasons why the Director made such determination.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 115, beginning on line 18, strike “10-year” and insert “5-year”.

✗
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 99, line 20, insert after the period the following: "For purposes of this subsection, the term 'small business concern' shall include any minority-owned business and any woman-owned business."
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

1 SEC. 29. SENSE OF CONGRESS.
2 It is the sense of Congress that the patent system
3 should promote industries to continue to develop new tech-
4 nologies that spur growth and create jobs across the coun-
5 try which includes protecting the rights of small busi-
6 nesses and inventors from predatory behavior that could
7 result in the cutting off of innovation.

☒
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 139, insert the following after line 12 and re-designate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly:

1 SEC. 29. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

2 It is the sense of Congress that none of the provisions of this Act, or the amendments made by this Act, constitute an unconstitutional taking of property under the fifth article of amendment to the Constitution of the United States.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

Page 88, line 2, before the comma insert the following: "and which shall include all historically Black colleges and universities".

☐
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. WOLF OF VIRGINIA

Page 130, strike line 3 and all that follows through page 134, line 17, and insert the following:

1 SEC. 22. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FUNDING.
2 Amounts available in the Department of Justice As-
3 sets Forfeiture Fund—
4 (1) in fiscal year 2014 in excess of $405,000,000 shall not be available for obligation or expenditure until fiscal year 2022; and
5 (2) in fiscal year 2019 in excess of $883,000,000 shall not be available for obligation or expenditure until fiscal year 2022.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. WOLF OF VIRGINIA

Strike section 22 (page 130, line 3, through page 134, line 17) and redesignate succeeding sections and references thereto (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. WOLF OF VIRGINIA

Page 130, strike line 3 and all that follows through page 134, line 17, and insert the following:

SEC. 22. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FUNDING.

(a) DEFERRAL OF AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—Amounts available in the Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund—

(1) in fiscal year 2014 in excess of $405,000,000 shall not be available for obligation or expenditure until fiscal year 2022; and

(2) in fiscal year 2019 in excess of $883,000,000 shall not be available for obligation or expenditure until fiscal year 2022.

(b) AUTHORITY OF PTO TO USE FEES.—To the extent and in the amounts provided in appropriations Acts, the Patent and Trademark Office may retain and use fees the Office collects in excess of estimates for any fiscal year.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. TERRY OF NEBRASKA

Page 5, line 1, strike "FIRST INVENTOR TO FILE" and insert "DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS" (and conform the table of contents accordingly).

Page 5, strike line 2 and all that follows through page 13, line 25 and redesignate succeeding subsections accordingly.

Page 18, strike lines 3 through 20 and insert the following (and redesignate succeeding paragraphs accordingly):

1 (c) ELIMINATION OF REFERENCES TO INTER-REFERENCES.—

Page 24, strike lines 3 and 4 and insert the following:

3 (g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided

Page 24, line 11, redesignate subparagraph (A) as paragraph (1) and move such paragraph 2ems to the left.

Page 24, line 16, redesignate subparagraph (B) as paragraph (2) and move such paragraph 2ems to the left.
Page 24, strike line 20 and all that follows through page 25, line 12.

Page 27, strike lines 3 through 20.

Page 27, strike line 22.

Page 27, line 23, redesignate paragraph (1) as subsection (a) and move such subsection 2ems to the left.

Page 28, strike line 22 and all that follows through page 33, line 12.

Page 33, line 13, redesignate paragraph (4) as subsection (b) and move such subsection 2ems to the left.

Page 33, strike line 17 and all that follows through page 36, line 9.

Page 37, line 5, strike “commercially”.

Page 65, line 4, strike “a preponderance of the” and insert “clear and convincing”.

Page 68, strike line 18 and all that follows through page 70, line 2.

Page 71, strike lines 16 through 19.

Page 73, line 3, after “BOARD” insert “AND INTERFERENCES” (and conform the table of contents accordingly).
Page 73, line 7, after "Board" insert "and Interferences".

Page 74, after line 2, insert the following new paragraph and redesignate succeeding paragraphs accordingly:

1 (3) determine priority and patentability of invention in interferences declared under section 135(a);

Page 75, in the matter following line 2, after "Board" insert "and Interferences".

Page 76, line 7, strike "DERIVATION" and insert "INTERFERENCES AND DERIVATION".

Page 76, line 7, insert "an interference or" before "a deri-".

Page 76, line 12, strike "derivation".

Page 76, line 25, insert "interference proceeding," before "derivation".

Page 77, line 6, insert "an interference or" before "a derivation".

Page 77, line 23, insert "an interference or" before "a derivation".
Page 80, line 12, before the semicolon insert the following: "including how each document corresponds to the claims in the patent application".

Page 93, line 23, insert "AND INTERFERENCE" before "FEES".

Page 93, line 24, insert "or an interference" before "from the".

Page 94, line 2, after "appeal" insert "or the interference".

Page 94, line 3, after "appeal" insert "or the interference".

Page 99, line 9, strike "$50" and insert "the actual cost of providing such printed copies".

Page 105, line 9, strike "refer" and insert "transfer".

Page 105, beginning on line 10, strike "such action as the Attorney General may deem appropriate" and insert "prosecution".

Page 108, strike lines 13 through 15 and redesignate the succeeding subsection accordingly.
Page 108, strike line 21 and all that follows through page 109, line 17, and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 112, strike line 18 and all that follows through page 118, line 2, and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 118, strike lines 4 through 15 and insert the following: “Section 1295(a)(1) of title 28, United States Code.”

Page 122, strike line 20 and all that follows through page 125, line 17 and redesignate succeeding subsections accordingly.

Page 134, line 20, strike “shall, by not later than the date that is” and insert “may, before”.

Page 136, line 11, strike “all such” and insert “any”.

Page 136, line 12, insert “if applicable,” before “whether”.

☑
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. TERRY OF NEBRASKA

Page 22, after line 16, insert the following new paragraph:

(4) IMPLEMENTATION CONTINGENT ON POSITIVE REPORT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the provisions of this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall not take effect before the date on which the Chief Counsel submits a report under paragraph (3) stating that the overall effect of the elimination of the use of dates of invention in determining whether an applicant is entitled to a patent under title 35, United States Code, will be positive.

Page 24, after line 2, insert the following new paragraph:

(3) IMPLEMENTATION CONTINGENT ON POSITIVE REPORT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the provisions of this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall not take effect before the date on which the Director submits a report under paragraph (1) stating that any changes
made by this Act, or amendments made by this Act, dealing with prior user rights will have a positive overall effect.

Page 27, strike lines 3 through 20.

Page 28, strike line 22 and all that follows through page 33, line 12.

Page 33, line 13, redesignate paragraph (4) as subsection (b) and move such subsection 2ems to the left.

Page 33, strike line 17 and all that follows through page 36, line 9.

Page 37, line 5, strike “commercially”.

Page 65, line 4, strike “a preponderance of the” and insert “clear and convincing”.

Page 71, strike lines 16 through 19.

Page 80, line 12, before the semicolon insert the following: “, including how each document corresponds to the claims in the patent application”.

Page 99, line 9, strike “$50” and insert “the actual cost of providing such printed copies”.

Page 105, line 9, strike “refer” and insert “transfer”.
Page 105, beginning on line 10, strike "such action as the Attorney General may deem appropriate" and insert "prosecution".

Page 108, strike lines 13 through 15 and redesignate the succeeding subsection accordingly.

Page 108, strike line 21 and all that follows through page 109, line 17, and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 112, strike line 18 and all that follows through page 118, line 2, and redesignate succeeding sections (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. SHUSTER OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 115, line 8, strike "before, on, or after" and insert "on or after".
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. SHUSTER OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 117, line 17, insert before the period the following: "or patents relating to competitive pricing or procurement, supply chain management, or business process outsourcing".
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MR. SCHOCK OF ILLINOIS AND MR.
BOREN OF OKLAHOMA

Page 112, strike line 18 and all that follows through page 118, line 2, and redesignate succeeding sections and references thereto (and conform the table of contents) accordingly.

Page 68, line 9, strike "in section 18 and".

☒
AMENDMENT TO MANAGER'S AMENDMENT TO
H.R. 1249, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ OF
FLORIDA

On page 28 of the Amendment, line 26, strike "where an independent" and all that follows through "from the patent owner" on page 29, line 3, and insert "includes the interpretation of such a test by a doctor, medical professional, or other person".

On page 29 of the Amendment, line 9, strike "therapeutic treatment selection".

On page 29 of the Amendment, line 13, insert after "individual" the following: "unless the infringing genetic diagnostic test activity utilizes different technologies or has performance characteristics that are sufficiently different from the patented test that the results can provide information not provided by the patented test".

On page 29 of the Amendment, line 16, insert "and" after the semicolon.

On page 29 of the Amendment, strike lines 17 through 20. and redesignate the succeeding subclause accordingly.
On page 29 of the Amendment, line 21, strike "(IV)" and insert "(III)".

On page 30 of the Amendment, beginning on line 12, strike "mutation or a pattern of mutations" and insert "genotype, mutation, or chromosomal change or a pattern of changes".

On page 31 of the Amendment, line 11, strike the comma and insert a period and the following: "Such a burden may be met through the production, consistent with applicable patient privacy laws, of a test requisition or other".

On page 31 of the Amendment, line 16, after "performed" insert a period and the following: "Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as requiring the production of any information in conflict with applicable patient privacy laws.".

On page 31 of the Amendment, after line 20, insert the following:

1 (c) Rule of Construction.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall not be construed to reflect any expression by the Congress with respect to the patentability of genetic material or genetic diagnostic testing.